International Journal of Research in Civil Engineering and Technology

E-ISSN: 2707-8272
P-ISSN: 2707-8264
IJRCET 2025; 6(1): 71-76
Journal's Website
Received: 25-02-2025
Accepted: 30-03-2025

Er. Neetu Rani
Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Matu Ram Institute of Engineering & Management, Rohtak, Haryana, India

Jatin
M.Tech. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Matu Ram Institute of Engineering & Management, Rohtak, Haryana, India

Corresponding Author:
Jatin
M.Tech. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Matu Ram Institute of Engineering & Management, Rohtak, Haryana, India

A comprehensive review on the evolution, performance, and sustainability of high-strength self-compacting concrete (HSSCC)

Er. Neetu Rani and Jatin

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/27078264.2025.v6.i1a.84

Abstract
This paper presents a comprehensive review of High-Strength Self-Compacting Concrete (HSSCC), emphasizing its evolution, material composition, rheological behavior, mechanical performance, and sustainability potential. Beginning with the development of Self-Compacting Concrete in the late 1980s, the paper traces the integration of high-strength properties through the incorporation of mineral and chemical admixtures. The role of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) such as fly ash, silica fume, and GGBS in enhancing durability and reducing environmental impact is analyzed in detail. Particular attention is given to hybrid fiber reinforcement systems, their synergistic effects on strength and ductility, and their implications in demanding structural conditions. Flow characteristics and rheology are discussed using both experimental findings and rheological modeling approaches. Durability factors such as resistance to thermal exposure, sulfate attack, and freeze-thaw cycles are also reviewed. Notable research gaps are identified in the areas of field-based durability validation, predictive modeling, and life-cycle assessments.

Keywords: High-strength self-compacting concrete (HSSCC), supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs), rheological behavior, hybrid fiber systems, sustainability in concrete

Introduction

Evolution of concrete technology and the rise of HSSCC

Concrete technology has witnessed significant transformation over the decades, evolving from basic mixes to highly specialized materials capable of meeting diverse structural and environmental challenges. One of the pivotal innovations in this evolution is the development of Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC), introduced in Japan during the late 1980s to overcome the challenges of inadequate compaction in congested reinforcement zones. SCC's self-flowing nature removed the need for mechanical vibration, thereby reducing labor, noise, and segregation issues. The integration of high-strength capabilities into SCC formulations led to the emergence of High-Strength Self-Compacting Concrete (HSSCC), a material that not only flows under its own weight but also provides superior compressive strength, often exceeding 70 MPa (Zende *et al.*, 2023) [1].

The mechanical behavior of HSSCC, including stress-strain characteristics and elastic properties, has been extensively studied. Research has shown that reduced aggregate size and optimized binder content result in more linear and steep stress-strain curves, lower Poisson's ratio, and a reduced modulus of elasticity compared to traditional concrete (Zende *et al.*, 2023) [1]. These attributes make HSSCC an ideal material for high-rise structures and complex geometries. Additionally, structural performance under seismic conditions has been demonstrated to be highly reliable. For instance, tests on beam-column joints in reinforced concrete frames confirmed that HSSCC provides equivalent or superior performance in terms of ductility, bond strength, and energy dissipation compared to conventional mixes.

Material science has played a crucial role in the rise of HSSCC. The incorporation of supplementary cementitious materials like silica fume and metakaolin significantly enhances packing density and microstructure. Saifullah *et al.* (2023) showed that partial replacement of cement with 12.5% metakaolin and varying percentages of silica fume improved compactness and shear performance in reinforced HSSCC beams. Similarly, the use of nano mineral admixtures such as nano-silica and phosphogypsum, as explored by Manoharan *et al.* (2023), has been shown to improve compressive strength and durability through enhanced

particle reactivity and reduced porosity.

In the pursuit of sustainability, recent advances have focused on replacing cement with recycled industrial by-products. Senol and Karakurt (2024) investigated the use of recycled clay brick powder and fly ash in HSSCC, concluding that up to 10% substitution maintained or even enhanced compressive strength after 90 days of curing. Amin *et al.* (2023) also explored the use of waste glass powder, finding improvements in workability and permeability, though excessive replacement led to reduced strength.

Thermal resistance and performance under high temperatures have also been validated. Bao *et al.* (2023) reported that lightweight aggregate-based HSSCC retained significant compressive strength and exhibited favorable microstructural stability even after exposure to 800 °C, confirming its suitability for fire-exposed environments. Additionally, Ashtiani (2013) demonstrated that HSSCC not only satisfies structural performance metrics but also simplifies construction processes in seismic regions, making it a reliable material for earthquake-resistant design.

Research trends in high-strength Concrete (HSC)

High-Strength Concrete (HSC) has become a cornerstone of modern structural engineering due to its superior compressive strength (typically above 60 MPa), durability, and adaptability to complex construction needs. Recent research trends have focused on improving the mechanical properties of HSC through the inclusion of mineral admixtures, optimizing durability in harsh environments, and understanding its behavior under dynamic and thermal stress.

One prominent research avenue is the incorporation of mineral admixtures like fly ash, silica fume, and ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) to enhance strength and sustainability. Experimental results confirm that these additives, when used with superplasticizers, improve both workability and long-term strength performance (Dhangar, 2024) [2]. Complementing this, Srikanth (2022) [7] investigated the durability of HSC in marine and acidic environments and reported superior resistance when 30% GGBFS was used, highlighting HSC's robustness in aggressive conditions.

Another emerging direction is thermal and high-temperature performance. Researchers like Wu *et al.* (2000) [9] and Drzymała *et al.* (2018) [3] found that while compressive strength and elastic modulus decrease under elevated temperatures, using polypropylene fibers or air-entrainment techniques can significantly reduce explosive spalling. These findings are vital for tunnel linings, fire-resistant designs, and nuclear containment structures.

HSC's seismic and impact behavior is also gaining attention. Saatcioglu *et al.* (1998) [6] emphasized the need for higher confinement reinforcement to ensure ductility in seismic zones, while Kustermann and Keuser (2004) [5] demonstrated that fiber-reinforced HSC with steel and polypropylene fibers can withstand high-velocity dynamic impacts, suggesting its utility in defense and blast-resistant structures.

In terms of structural applications, researchers have focused on flexural and column performance. Yang *et al.* (2015) [10] introduced a novel column design using double high-strength spiral reinforcements that enhanced both strength and ductility under axial loads. Similarly, Fasching and French (1998) [4] analyzed the flexural behavior of HSC beams and proposed adjustments to standard design codes to account for the higher stiffness and reduced ductility of HSC compared to normal concrete. Finally, innovative design methodologies are being explored. Tawie (2014) [8] proposed an axiomatic design framework for optimizing HSC mix design, enabling more systematic, cost-effective production methods using locally available materials—a critical consideration for resource-limited regions.

Development of self-compacting concrete (SCC) and flow mechanisms

Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC), also referred to as Self-Consolidating Concrete, represents a transformative innovation in concrete technology, primarily designed to eliminate the need for mechanical vibration. It was first developed in Japan in the late 1980s, with the goal of achieving high-quality concrete in complex structural applications where traditional vibration techniques were impractical. SCC is engineered to possess excellent flowability, passing ability, and segregation resistance, making it highly suitable for densely reinforced or geometrically complex structural elements (Geiker & Jacobsen, 2019) [12].

The flow behavior of SCC is governed by its rheological properties, primarily yield stress and plastic viscosity, which are influenced by water-to-binder ratio, aggregate characteristics, and the use of admixtures like superplasticizers and viscosity-modifying agents (Wibowo, 2019). Designing SCC begins at the mortar level, optimizing mix composition to balance flow and stability. For example, Wibowo (2019) [15] demonstrated that a superplasticizer dosage of 0.6% with a flow mortar volume-to-void ratio of 1.8 achieved both maximum slump flow (280 mm) and high compressive strength (65.76 MPa).

In the fresh state, SCC's flow mechanisms are typically assessed using standardized tests such as slump flow, V-funnel, and L-box tests, which evaluate its filling ability and resistance to segregation. El-Dieb and Taha (2012) [11] emphasized that even when reinforcing SCC with fibers, it is possible to maintain self-compacting properties, though the inclusion of fibers alters flow dynamics. They proposed new acceptance criteria for fiber-reinforced SCC based on flow indices.

Rheological modeling has advanced the understanding of flow mechanics in SCC. Kulasegaram and Karihaloo (2018) [13] applied Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) with a Bingham constitutive model to simulate the distribution of aggregates and flow patterns, offering new insights into the behavior of SCC in complex molds. Their findings support the idea that predictive modeling can reduce trial mixes and improve design efficiency.

From a practical standpoint, Rich (2014) [14] conducted an on-site performance analysis and found that SCC reduced slab construction time by up to 73% compared to conventional concrete, primarily due to faster placement and reduced labor input. However, skepticism among contractors remains a barrier, often due to concerns about cost, unfamiliarity, and lack of skilled supervision.

Geiker and Jacobsen (2019) [12] also highlighted robustness as a critical attribute of SCC—defined as the ability to maintain uniform flow properties under varying site conditions. Robustness ensures consistency during transport, pumping, and casting, which is essential for achieving

reliable performance in real-world construction.

Role of supplementary cementitious materials in concrete systems

Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs) have become a critical component in concrete technology for improving performance and sustainability. These materials, including fly ash, silica fume, and ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), are typically industrial by-products that reduce cement demand, lower carbon emissions, and enhance long-term concrete durability and strength.

Fly Ash

Fly ash (FA), particularly Class F, is widely used for its spherical particles, which enhance the workability and flow of fresh concrete. It contributes to long-term strength development via pozzolanic reactions. According to Pang *et al.* (2022) [21], fly ash refines the pore structure and improves chloride ion resistance due to its alumino-silicate content. However, it can reduce early-age strength, as confirmed by Johari *et al.* (2011) [18], though strength recovers over time. Kumar *et al.* (2024) [19] further observed that high-volume fly ash, when used with silica fume, significantly enhances compressive strength in self-compacting concrete (SCC).

Silica Fume

Silica fume (SF), known for its ultra-fine particle size and high silica content, dramatically improves the strength and density of concrete. Pang *et al.* (2022) [21] reported that a 10% replacement of cement with silica fume leads to a 20% strength increase at 28 days. In high-strength concrete, SF enhances microstructure and reduces permeability. According to Kumar *et al.* (2022) [20], incorporating SF with high-volume FA in SCC offsets the negative effects of recycled aggregates while maintaining durability. Yehia *et al.* (2015) [23] observed that SF boosts compressive strength more than FA or GGBS but can reduce flowability.

Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBS)

GGBS enhances durability and long-term strength but may slow early hydration. Pang *et al.* (2022) [21] noted that GGBS reduces early-age strength but improves long-term properties through its latent hydraulic reaction. According to Dadsetan and Bai (2016) [16], GGBS increases water demand slightly but maintains acceptable rheological properties. Yehia *et al.* (2015) [23] also found that GGBS improves flexural strength better than fly ash in lightweight SCC.

Comparative Performance of SCMs in HSSCC

The synergy between different SCMs is key to optimizing High-Strength Self-Compacting Concrete (HSSCC). Sharobim *et al.* (2018) [22] demonstrated that combining silica fume and fly ash enhanced compressive strength, modified pore structure, and reduced permeability. In comparative analysis, Yehia *et al.* (2015) [23] showed that SF yields the highest compressive strength, while GGBS improves flexural strength, and FA improves workability but lags in early strength. Guo *et al.* (2020) [17] found that ternary blends of FA, SF, and GGBS yield better mechanical and durability outcomes than any single SCM.

Rheological and workability properties of HSSCC

The rheological and workability properties of High-Strength Self-Compacting Concrete (HSSCC) are central to its performance in structural applications. HSSCC must exhibit excellent flowability, passing ability, and resistance to segregation without compromising its high mechanical strength. Achieving this delicate balance involves careful control of mix composition, especially binder content, water-to-binder ratio, fine aggregates, and chemical admixtures.

Şenol and Karakurt (2024) highlighted that fly ash and recycled clay brick powder replacements maintain satisfactory fresh properties, though higher substitution levels (20%) tend to reduce workability. Still, even these mixtures met the SCC standards in terms of slump flow and viscosity. Similarly, Al-Sarraf *et al.* (2013) confirmed that HSSCC achieves self-consolidation through a balance of high-range water reducers and optimized aggregate gradation, ensuring defect-free casting.

Jalal *et al.* (2013) observed that replacing cement with fly ash and TiO_2 nanoparticles enhances the rheological stability and reduces plastic viscosity due to improved particle packing. Moreover, metakaolin and silica fume have been shown to affect flowability. Wibowo *et al.* (2019) [15] found that increasing metakaolin content reduces slump flow but improves the mix's cohesion and compressive strength, indicating a trade-off between flow and packing density.

From a design standpoint, Alshahrani *et al.* (2023) proposed an eco-efficient method using up to 40% cement replacement with SCMs. Their study demonstrated that variations in sand-to-aggregate (S/A) and paste-to-solid (P/S) ratios have a direct impact on dynamic yield stress and viscosity, which are critical for achieving consistent rheological behavior.

Bauchkar and Chore (2018) emphasized the importance of fine aggregate characteristics. They found that finer, processed sands enhance yield stress and viscosity, improving the rheo-strength and rheo-durability of HSSCC. Similarly, Somasri and Kumar (2021) reported that graphene oxide improves early-age rheology by densifying the matrix and promoting uniform hydration.

Pilar *et al.* (2021) investigated the effect of shrinkage-reducing admixtures on HSSCC's flow loss over time. Their findings revealed that shrinkage mitigators like SRA maintained workability up to 90 minutes post-mixing, a critical requirement for large-scale pours. Ashtiani *et al.* (2013) also validated HSSCC's superior fresh properties compared to conventional concrete, attributing its self-compacting nature to lower aggregate content and optimized binder systems.

Mechanical strength and durability indicators in SCM-based concrete

Incorporating Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs) like fly ash, silica fume, GGBS, metakaolin, and rice husk ash in high-strength self-compacting concrete (HSSCC) significantly influences its mechanical and durability performance. These materials enhance particle packing, pozzolanic reactivity, and chemical resistance—vital for modern structural applications.

For example, Prithiviraj *et al.* (2022) [27] found that replacing 30% cement with alccofine resulted in optimal compressive strength and improved durability against sulphate and acid attacks. Similarly, Karthick and Nirmalkumar (2016) [26] reported that a combination of 20%

silica fume and 20% quarry dust yielded superior mechanical and microstructural properties, including reduced permeability and enhanced crack resistance.

Xie *et al.* (2002) [29] demonstrated that HSSCC with ultrapulverized fly ash achieved compressive strength of up to 80 MPa with excellent freeze-thaw resistance and low drying shrinkage. Durability also extends to thermal and environmental performance; Rao *et al.* (2012) [28] observed that higher-grade SCC showed better resistance to acid, chloride, and thermal stresses compared to normal concrete.

Fonseca *et al.* (2022) [25] explored ternary and quaternary SCM blends and found that high metakaolin or rice husk ash content reduces compressive strength but improves carbonation resistance and electrical resistivity. Faraj *et al.* (2019) [24] observed that adding recycled polypropylene particles in combination with silica fume improved fracture energy and ductility, while maintaining compressive strength above 70 MPa.

Table 1: Mechanical and Durability Indicators in SCM-Based HSSCC

Study	SCM Type(s)	Strength Performance	Durability Performance
Prithiviraj *et al.* (2022) [27]	Alccofine (10-60%)	30% replacement showed max compressive strength	High sulphate and acid resistance, reduced sorptivity
Karthick & Nirmalkumar (2016) [26]	Silica fume + Quarry Dust	High compressive & flexural strength	Improved microstructure, reduced permeability
Xie *et al.* (2002) [29]	Ultrapulverized fly ash	80 MPa at 28 days	Freeze-thaw resistance, low drying shrinkage
Rao *et al.* (2012) [28]	Standard SCC mixes	Improved with grade	Superior acid, chloride, and thermal resistance
Fonseca *et al.* (2022) [25]	Metakaolin, RHA, limestone	Reduced strength at high SCM levels	Enhanced carbonation resistance, lower chloride diffusion
Faraj *et al.* (2019) [24]	Silica fume + plastic waste	>70 MPa at 90 days	Improved fracture energy, chloride resistance

Influence of admixture combinations and hybrid mixes in HSSCC

The integration of admixture combinations and hybrid fiber systems in High-Strength Self-Compacting Concrete (HSSCC) has gained momentum for enhancing both fresh and hardened properties of concrete. These innovations focus on improving rheological performance, mechanical strength, energy absorption, and durability under harsh service conditions.

Hybrid mixes typically combine mineral admixtures (e.g., silica fume, fly ash, marble powder, limestone powder) with chemical admixtures (e.g., superplasticizers, viscosity modifying agents) and dual or multiple fibers (e.g., steel + polypropylene, glass + polyester). Such combinations have demonstrated synergistic effects in multiple studies. For instance, Nandhini and Manju (2017) [31] evaluated a hybrid fiber-reinforced SCC incorporating steel and polypropylene fibers along with a multi-mineral blend (silica fume, fly ash, marble powder, limestone powder). The hybrid mix showed a 28.4% increase in compressive strength, 56.6% in tensile strength, and 67.6% in flexural strength over the control mix, while maintaining durable and self-compacting properties.

Similarly, Saranya and Abinaya (2017) [34] examined SCC mixes with glass and polyester fibers. Their results indicated an increase in compressive and tensile strength, with the 0.6% glass and 0.2% polyester fiber mix outperforming other combinations in terms of both flexural behavior and flowability compliance with EFNARC standards.

Hybridization also supports sustainable concrete practices. Pawar and Pise (2023) [32] demonstrated that incorporating hybrid steel and polypropylene fibers with high-volume fly ash (35-50%) resulted in compressive strengths between 35-54 MPa, with excellent flowability and ductility. This promotes the use of industrial by-products and reduces reliance on Portland cement. Another innovative approach was proposed by Karthick and Janani (2020) [30], who showed that adding CONPLAST SP430 (2% by cement weight) with hybrid fibers enhanced both compressive and tensile strength in SCC. The best results were found at a 1% hybrid fiber dosage, proving that controlled dosages are critical in optimizing mix performance.

Experimental findings by Rao *et al.* (2022) [28] on steel and banana fibers also affirmed that dual natural-artificial hybrid systems improve packing density and microstructure, leading to increased strength and reduced water absorption.

Gaps in the existing literature and research direction

Despite extensive research on High-Strength Self-Compacting Concrete (HSSCC), a number of critical gaps remain in the current body of knowledge. While existing studies provide considerable insight into the behavior of concrete under controlled conditions, the interrelationship between various mineral admixtures and their combined influence on HSSCC properties under complex field conditions remains insufficiently explored. Most prior work has isolated the effect of individual admixtures such as fly ash, silica fume, or GGBS. However, practical applications increasingly rely on blended systems, the performance of which is not fully captured by single-material studies (Tirumalasetty & Barbhuiya, 2016) [35]. Another gap lies in the durability behavior of HSSCC in extreme environmental conditions, such as high salinity zones, cyclic thermal exposure, or heavy sulfate attack. Many laboratory studies focus on compressive strength and flowability but overlook long-term deterioration mechanisms. Additionally, the microstructural evolution of HSSCC, especially with nano-modified or hybrid admixture systems, has not been comprehensively investigated using techniques such as SEM, XRD, or MIP under real-life curing scenarios (Ali & Zhang, 2020) [36].

Most performance models available in the literature have been derived from conventional concrete behavior. There is a notable absence of predictive models tailored specifically to HSSCC with varying binder compositions, making it difficult to reliably forecast structural life span or crack propagation in service environments (Oyelami *et al.*, 2019) [37]. In this context, data-driven and AI-supported predictive modeling approaches also remain largely untapped for self-compacting systems.

On the sustainability front, while the ecological benefits of replacing cement with mineral admixtures are widely acknowledged, comprehensive life-cycle assessments (LCAs) for HSSCC mixes are limited. Few studies assess the embodied energy, carbon footprint, and economic efficiency of various blend ratios over the full life span of infrastructure projects (Sivakumar & Natarajan, 2022) [38].

From the research direction standpoint, there is a clear need to:

1. Develop integrated mix design frameworks that account for multiple SCMs with consideration of regional availability and variability.
2. Investigate the synergistic effects of dual or triple mineral admixture systems on both fresh and hardened properties.
3. Perform field-based durability testing in varying climatic and soil conditions to confirm lab-based predictions.
4. Employ microstructural tools and sustainability metrics to optimize performance-cost-impact relationships.
5. Integrate machine learning techniques into the design and optimization of HSSCC for smarter prediction and automation.

Conclusion

The review underscores that High-Strength Self-Compacting Concrete (HSSCC) has emerged as a transformative material in civil engineering due to its superior mechanical properties, efficient constructability, and sustainability benefits. The integration of SCMs such as silica fume, fly ash, and GGBS not only enhances the strength and durability of concrete but also reduces the environmental burden associated with cement production. Rheological advancements and optimized mix design techniques ensure that HSSCC meets the critical requirements of flowability and segregation resistance in highly reinforced or complex structures. The use of hybrid admixture systems and fiber combinations further extends the performance envelope, offering enhanced energy absorption, fracture resistance, and structural robustness. However, several challenges persist. These include the need for predictive models tailored to multi-admixture systems, field validation under aggressive environmental conditions, and holistic sustainability assessments. Future research should integrate machine learning, microstructural analysis, and regional material profiling to create adaptable and eco-efficient HSSCC solutions.

References

1. Zende AA, Momin AIA, Khadiranaikar RB, Alsabhan A, Alam S, Khan MA, *et al.* Mechanical properties of high-strength self-compacting concrete. ACS Omega. 2023;8(15):18000-18008. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c01204
2. Dhangar PR. Experimental exploration of high strength concrete (HSC) properties using mineral admixtures and superplasticizers. Int J Res Appl Sci Eng Technol. 2024. https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.65354
3. Drzymała T, Jackiewicz-Rek W, Gałaj J, Šukys R. Assessment of mechanical properties of high-strength concrete (HSC) after exposure to high temperature. J Civil Eng Manag. 2018;24(2):138-144. https://doi.org/10.3846/JCEM.2018.457
4. Fasching C, French C. Effect of high-strength concrete (HSC) on flexural members. In: SP-176: High-Strength Concrete in Seismic Regions. 1998.
5. Kustermann A, Keuser M. High-strength fibre reinforced concrete (HSFRC) under high dynamic impact loading. Presented at: University of the Armed Forces; Munich; 2004.
6. Saatcioglu M, Paultre P, Ghosh S. Confinement of high-strength concrete. In: SP-176: High-Strength Concrete in Seismic Regions. 1998. https://doi.org/10.14359/5897
7. Srikanth S. Durability studies on high strength concrete. Pak J Sci Res. 2022. https://doi.org/10.57041/pjosr.v2i1.22
8. Tawie R. Development of axiomatic design-based high strength concrete framework. [Conference paper]. 2014.
9. Wu B, Jie Y, Wang GY. Experimental research on the mechanical properties of HSC at high temperature. China Civil Eng J. 2000;33.
10. Yang F, Sun L, Zhao J, Wu D, Li W. Behavior of a novel circular HSC column with double high strength spirals. Adv Struct Eng. 2015;18(9):1371-1382. https://doi.org/10.1260/1369-4332.18.9.1371
11. El-Dieb A, Taha M. Flow characteristics and acceptance criteria of fiber-reinforced self-compacted concrete (FR-SCC). Constr Build Mater. 2012;27:585-596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.07.004
12. Geiker M, Jacobsen S. Self-compacting concrete (SCC). In: Developments in the Formulation and Reinforcement of Concrete. 2nd ed. Woodhead Publishing; 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102616-8.00010-1
13. Kulasegaram S, Karihaloo B. Assessing the flow characteristics of self-compacting concrete via numerical simulations of flow tests. In: Computational Modelling of Concrete Structures. 2018. p. 173-182. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315182964-21
14. Rich D. On-site application of self-compacting concrete (SCC) [doctoral thesis]. Loughborough: Loughborough University; 2014.
15. Wibowo NA. Designing of flow mortar design mix for self-compacting concrete (SCC) with FWC = 0.4. J Civil Eng Forum. 2019. https://doi.org/10.22146/JCEF.41014
16. Dadsetan S, Bai J. Rheological characteristics of self-compacting concrete mixtures containing metakaolin, ground granulated blast-furnace slag and fly ash. Struct Surv Conf Mater Technol. 2016;SSCMT4110. https://doi.org/10.18552/2016/sscmt4110
17. Guo Z, Jiang T, Zhang J, Kong X, Chen C, Lehman D. Mechanical and durability properties of sustainable self-compacting concrete with recycled concrete aggregate and fly ash, slag and silica fume. Constr Build Mater. 2020;231:117115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117115
18. Johari MM, Brooks JJ, Kabir S, Rivard P. Influence of supplementary cementitious materials on engineering properties of high strength concrete. Constr Build Mater. 2011;25(5):2639-2648. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.12.013
19. Kumar R, Kumar S, Rai B, Samui P. Development of hybrid gradient boosting models for predicting the compressive strength of high-volume fly ash self-

compacting concrete with silica fume. Structures. 2024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2024.106850
20. Kumar S, Kapoor K, Singh R, Singh P. Application of silica fume in high-volume fly ash self-compacting recycled aggregate concrete. Aust J Civil Eng. 2022;21(3):207-223. https://doi.org/10.1080/14488353.2022.2083426
21. Pang L, Liu Z, Wang D, An M. Review on the application of supplementary cementitious materials in self-compacting concrete. Crystals. 2022;12(2):180. https://doi.org/10.3390/cryst12020180
22. Sharobim K, Mohamadien HA, Mohamed A, Fattouh M. Effect of using different supplementary cementitious materials in high strength self-compacting concrete. Unpublished.
23. Yehia S, Farrag S, Helal K, El-Kalie S. Effects of fly ash, silica fume, and ground-granulated blast slag on properties of self-compacting high strength lightweight concrete. GSTF Journal of Engineering Technology (JET). 2015;3(1):1-9. https://doi.org/10.7603/S40707-014-0021-3
24. Faraj RH, Sherwani AH, Daraei A. Mechanical, fracture and durability properties of self-compacting high strength concrete containing recycled polypropylene plastic particles. J Build Eng. 2019;100808. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JOBE.2019.100808
25. Fonseca TV, dos Anjos MA, Ferreira RL, Branco F, Pereira L. Evaluation of self-compacting concretes produced with ternary and quaternary blends of different SCM and hydrated-lime. Constr Build Mater. 2022;314:126235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.126235
26. Karthick M, Nirmalkumar K. Mechanical, durability properties and SEM analysis on high strength self-compacting concrete using silica fume and quarry dust. Int J Mod Trends Eng Res. 2016;3.
27. Prithiviraj C, Saravanan J, Ramesh Kumar D, Murali G, Vatin N, Swaminathan P. Assessment of strength and durability properties of self-compacting concrete comprising alccofine. Sustainability. 2022;14(10):5895. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14105895
28. Rao SV, Rao M, Ramaseshu D, Kumar PR. Durability performance of self-compacting concrete. Mag Concr Res. 2012;64(11):1005-1013. https://doi.org/10.1680/MACR.11.00165
29. Xie YJ, Liu B, Yin J, Zhou S. Optimum mix parameters of high-strength self-compacting concrete with ultrapulverized fly ash. Cem Concr Res. 2002;32(3):477-480. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8846(01)00708-6
30. Karthick P, Janani S. Experimental study on utilization of hybrid fibers in self-compacting concrete. Int J Trend Sci Res Dev. 2020.
31. Nandhini S, Manju R. A study on hybrid fibre reinforced self-compacting concrete. Unpublished conference paper. 2017. Retrieved from: https://consensus.app/papers/a-study-on-hybrid-fibre-reinforced-self-compacting-nandhini-manju/7093f7462f7f555d9d318f0dcfd09dd8/
32. Pawar YP, Pise CP. Performance evaluation of hybrid fibre reinforced high volume fly ash based self-compacting concrete. Proc Int Conf Sci Eng. 2023. https://doi.org/10.52783/cienceng.v11i1.256
33. Rao ARK, Ruben N, Srinivasa VR, Sankeerth SVS. Development of hybrid fibre reinforced self-compacting concrete as per Nan Su criteria. E3S Web Conf. 2021;309:01050. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202130901050
34. Saranya S, Abinaya M. Experimental study on hybrid fiber self-compacting concrete. Unpublished research article. 2017. Retrieved from: https://consensus.app/papers/experimental-study-on-hybrid-fiber-self-compacting-saranya-abinaya/1b4f8b5de7245233beab08879fa70cbb/
35. Tirumalasetty GK, Barbhuiya SA. Influence of hybrid mineral admixtures on workability and strength development in self-compacting concrete. Mater Struct. 2016;49(9):3743-3754.
36. Ali MA, Zhang L. Microstructural and mechanical behavior of ternary blended SCC under sulfate-rich environments. J Build Eng. 2020;30:101261.
37. Oyelami CA, Adekunle SK, Ejeh SP. Predictive modeling of compressive strength of high-performance SCC using artificial neural networks. Int J Struct Eng. 2019;10(1):1-17.
38. Sivakumar A, Natarajan K. Life-cycle assessment of high-performance concrete using multiple industrial wastes: A cradle-to-grave study. Cleaner Mater. 2022;3:100050.